School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2008-09

Published During 2009-10

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

I. Data and Access

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

II. About This School

Contact Information (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Evergreen Valley High	District Name	East Side Union High
Street	3300 Quimby Rd.	Phone Number	408-347-5000
City, State, Zip	San Jose , CA 95148-3122	Web Site	www.esuhsd.org
Phone Number	408-347-7000	Superintendent	Dan Moser
Principal	Ana Lomas	E-mail Address	moserd@esuhsd.org
E-mail Address	lomasa@esuhsd.org	CDS Code	43- 69427- 4330692

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2008-09)

Welcome to Evergreen Valley High School, home of the Cougars. Students and parents are very proud of the school's reputation as an academically challenging and high performing school. Each year since the school opened our API has increased. I am proud to report that the current API is 838. Students are well prepared to attend four-year colleges and universities around the country. Challenging and rigorous curriculum is enriched by a variety of athletic teams, student clubs and community service organizations. An outstanding group of highly qualified teachers work collaboratively to assure that all students reach their full potential. The school offers AP courses in Spanish, US History, American Government, World History, English Language, English Literature, Chemistry, Biology, Physics, and Calculus AB and BC. The performing arts department curriculum includes drama, choir, music appreciation, symphonic band, advanced band and marching band. World languages include Vietnamese and French as well as Spanish. A well rounded, comprehensive, high school experience is waiting for each and every student.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2008-09)

Because parent and community participation is essential to student achievement, Evergreen Valley High School provides a number of parent involvement opportunities. The school has an active School Site Council which meets the first Wednesday of each month. Other parent organizations include the Evergreen Valley Athletic Boosters, Evergreen Valley Educational Foundation, Band Boosters Club, African American Parent Coalition, IPACE (Indian Subcontinent Parents), and the Latino Parent Coalition. To support parents, Evergreen Valley hosts a variety of parent information nights, including but not limited to Financial Aid Night and grade level parent informational nights. Moreover, counselors annually schedule parent visits and workshops that include college information, financial aid, and graduation status.

School Loop provides parents with immediate access to their students' grades, test scores, school programs and email communication directly to teachers. Teleparent messages, in the student's home language, can be sent by teachers. Auto dialer messages, both all school and targeted messages, are delivered to homes on a weekly basis.

If you are interested in participating in any of these programs or would like more information please call the Evergreen Valley main number (408) 347-7000.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	0
Grade 1	0
Grade 2	0
Grade 3	0

Grade 4	0
Grade 5	0
Grade 6	0
Grade 7	0
Grade 8	0
Ungraded Elementary	0
Grade 9	648
Grade 10	692
Grade 11	667
Grade 12	594
Ungraded Secondary	0
Total Enrollment	2601

Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	4.00 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.27 %
Asian	49.17 %
Filipino	10.96 %
Hispanic or Latino	23.95 %
Pacific Islander	1.00 %
White (not Hispanic)	10.19 %
Multiple or No Response	0.46 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	13.00 %
English Learners	6.00 %
Students with Disabilities	5.00 %

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays by subject area the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2006-07				2007-08				2008-09			
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	26.8	28	64	3	28.6	10	78		28.2	11	86	
Mathematics	28.1	20	37	25	28.5	18	55	15	28.6	19	51	20
Science	31.2	1	47	24	30.9	4	44	27	31.8	2	50	21

Social Science	32.3	2	45	14	32.1	3	27	35	30.5	2	59	6

III. School Climate

School Safety Plan (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

The Evergreen Valley School Safety plan was last reviewed on February 4, 2009.

Evergreen Valley has a very detailed, comprehensive safety plan that outlines protocols, systems, and procedures in the event of any/all emergencies. This plan also contains the yearly safety goals as determined by the students, staff, and parents. The Safety Plan is developed by the Evergreen Valley Safety Committee and reviewed by the District Safety Committee before it is presented to the East Side Union High School District Board of Trustees for adoption. The Safety Plan and drill procedures are reviewed during the year with all staff. Safety alerts are shared with all staff as needed throughout the school year. In addition, all required drills are calendared and completed and the results are communicated to all staff.

The Evergreen Valley High School Site Safety Plan has a comprehensive, enforceable, and continuous:

Behavior policy

Rules and regulations

Dress code policy

Protocols for safety/emergency drills

Tardy policy

Attendance policy

Referral process

Partnership with community agencies, City of San Jose, San Jose Police Department, and other agencies and groups that offer support services

Safety team

Multi-service team

Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Suspensions	7.5	12.0	12.0	21.7	30.0	16.9
Expulsions	0.3	0.0	0.1	0.4	0.1	0.1

IV. School Facilities

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

Overview

The District makes every effort to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the State of California Office of Public School Construction. The results of this survey are available at the school office and at the district office.

Age Of School buildings

Although the main school campus was constructed in 2002, portable buildings have been added to accommodate population increases in the Evergreen Valley community. In addition a new building has opened to include 15 additional classrooms and 3 additional science labs.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district's Board of Trustees has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. The Leadership Team works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floors systems.

School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

Item Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Gas Leaks	x			
Mechanical Systems	x			
Windows/Doors/Gates (interior and exterior)	x			
Interior Surfaces (walls, floors, and ceilings)	x			
Hazardous Materials (interior and exterior)	x			
Structural Damage	x			
Fire Safety	x			
Electrical (interior and exterior)	x			
Pest/Vermin Infestation	x			
Drinking Fountains (inside and outside)	x			

Restrooms	X			
Sewer	X			
Playground/School Grounds	X			
Other Athletic Fields		x		We reseeded football field in summer 2009. Will reseed when more funds are available.
Overall Rating	X			

V. Teachers

Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page.

	School			District
Teachers	**2006-07**	**2007-08**	**2008-09**	**2008-09**
With Full Credential	95	88	93	1089
Without Full Credential	12	18	13	149
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	N/A

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	0	6	0
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	6	0
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	2	0

Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE Improving Teacher and Principal Quality Web page.

	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
Location of Classes	**Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers**	**Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers**

This School	94.8	5.2
All Schools in District	95.2	4.8
High-Poverty Schools in District	94.4	5.6
Low-Poverty Schools in District		

VI. Support Staff

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	3.4	765
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.2	N/A
Psychologist	1.0	N/A
Social Worker		N/A
Nurse		N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist		N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	0.2	N/A
Other	3.0	

VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

CORE CURRICULUM AREA AND TEXTBOOK IN USE BY COURSE	PUBLISHER	YEAR PUBLISHED	PERCENT OF PUPILS WHO LACK THEIR OWN ASSIGNED TEXTBOOKS, INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS OR SCIENCE LAB EQUIPMENT
ENGLISH/LANGUAGE ARTS			
English 1 – "The Language of Literature Ninth Grade"	McDougal Littell	2002	0
English 2 – "The Language of Literature" Grade 10	McDougal Littell	2002	0
English 3 – "Timeless Voices Timeless Themes Am. Experience"	Prentice Hall	2000	0
English 4 – "The Language of Literature World Literature"	McDougal Littell	2002	0
MATH			
Algebra I – "Algebra 1"	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Geometry – "Geometry"	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Algebra II – "Algebra 2"	McDougal Littell	2007	0
Math Analysis – "Precalculus With Limits"	Houghton Mifflin	2001	0
SCIENCE			
Integrated Science 1 – "Conceptual Physical Science / Explorations"	Addison-Wesley	1999, 2003	0
Biology – "Biology: Exploring Life"	Prentice-Hall	2004	0
Chemistry – "Chemistry: Connections to our Changing World"	Prentice-Hall	2000, 2002	0
Physics – "Conceptual Physics"	Addison-Wesley	1992, 99, 02, 06	0

SOCIAL SCIENCE

World History – "Modern World History"	McDougal-Littell	2003	0
US History – "The American Vision"	Glencoe/McGraw Hill	2006	0
American Government – "Magruder's American Government"	Prentice Hall	2007	0
American Government –"We the People"	Center for Civic Education	2002	0

CURRICULUM AREA	QUALITY, CURRENCY, AND AVAILABILITY OF TEXTBOOKS AND INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS	PERCENT OF PUPILS WHO LACK THEIR OWN ASSIGNED TEXTBOOKS, INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIALS OR SCIENCE LAB EQUIPMENT
FOREIGN LANGUAGE	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted	0
HEALTH SCIENCES	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted	0
VISUAL AND PERFORMING ARTS	Textbooks and Instructional Materials in use are standards aligned and officially adopted	0
SCIENCE LABORATORY EQUIPMENT	Science labs are adequately equipped	0

VIII. School Finances

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	$5,886	$1,035	$4,851	$71,399
District	N/A	N/A	$6,106	$76,369

			-26%	-7%
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-26%	-7%
State	N/A	N/A	$5,512	$68,332
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-14%	4%

Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

Evergreen Valley offers support classes for students in need of additional help to pass the CAHSEE. In addition, 9th grade students scoring below basic in reading are enrolled in a reading course, utilizing the Read 180 program. Ninth graders scoring below basic in mathematics are enrolled in a CAHSEE math support class in addition to Algebra 1. A teacher on special assignment supports ninth grade Algebra 1 teachers to assure curriculum alignment and improve student success. Ongoing focused staff development is provided to all staff in TESA (Teacher Expectations and Student Achievement), Differentiated Instruction and technology.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2007-08)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	$48,434	$42,810
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	$79,527	$69,375
Highest Teacher Salary	$98,141	$89,104
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)		
Average Principal Salary (Middle)		$120,314
Average Principal Salary (High)	$122,625	$126,901
Superintendent Salary	$238,000	$198,563
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	37.90 %	37.30 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	4.30 %	5.20 %

IX. Student Performance

Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content

standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modifiedachievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Results Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	67	65	70	43	44	47	43	46	50
Mathematics	46	43	47	23	23	26	40	43	46
Science	62	70	68	37	44	45	38	46	50
History-Social Science	57	60	63	30	34	39	33	36	41

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	41	21	30	35
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	82	65	77	74
Filipino	70	42	74	60

Hispanic or Latino	47	20	52	40
Pacific Islander	52	23	*	53
White (not Hispanic)	76	41	70	68
Male	67	46	70	66
Female	73	49	65	60
Economically Disadvantaged	49	34	43	44
English Learners	17	25	18	12
Students with Disabilities	19	23	27	25
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	*

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results

The California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) is primarily used as a graduation requirement. However, the grade ten results of this exam are also used to establish the percentages of students at three proficiency levels (not proficient, proficient, or advanced) in ELA and mathematics in order to compute Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) designations as required by the federal NCLB Act of 2001. Detailed information regarding CAHSEE results can be found at the CDE California High School Exit Examination (CAHSEE) Web site. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy, or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level in ELA and mathematics.

Subject	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
English-Language Arts	71.5	75.7	74.9	51.9	55.1	55.1	48.6	52.9	52.0
Mathematics	75.1	83.0	79.1	55.1	59.6	59.6	49.9	51.3	53.3

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California High School Exit Examination Results by Performance Level for Student Groups – Most Recent Year
This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at each performance level in English language-arts and mathematics for the most recent testing period.

Group	English			Mathematics		
	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced	Not Proficient	Proficient	Advanced
All Students	24.3	48.7	27.0	17.0	35.7	47.3

Male	30.3	50.8	19.0	17.4	32.7	49.8
Female	18.2	46.6	35.2	16.6	38.7	44.8
African American	31.2	56.2	12.5	37.5	50.0	12.5
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*	*	*
Asian	15.2	49.1	35.7	6.2	31.6	62.2
Filipino	25.0	48.5	26.5	7.5	43.3	49.3
Hispanic or Latino	46.5	46.5	7.1	41.7	40.4	17.9
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	17.1	48.7	34.2	16.9	32.5	50.6
English Learners	55.6	40.6	3.8	28.0	47.7	24.2
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	47.5	40.6	11.9	29.4	36.3	34.3
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	84.4	15.6	0.0	77.4	19.4	3.2

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2008-09)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE Physical Fitness Testing (PFT) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	10.0	30.2	49.2

X. Accountability

Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE Academic Performance Index (API) Web page.

Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2006	2007	2008
Statewide	9	10	9
Similar Schools	7	9	8

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"B" means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law. Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.

"C" means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.

" * " means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2009
All Students at the School	24	1	10	838
African American				
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	20	0	7	893
Filipino	11	-11	32	836
Hispanic or Latino	52	-4	14	740
Pacific Islander				

White (not Hispanic)	10	6	2	849
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	50	-15	-16	743
English Learners	-35	-20	-9	718
Students with Disabilities	31	35		

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"*" means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
API as an additional indicator
Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) Web page.

Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	No	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	Yes	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	Yes	Yes

"Yes" Met 2009 AYP Criteria
"No" Did not Meet 2009 AYP Criteria

Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2009-10)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that

they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)](#) Web page.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	7
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	31.8

XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

Admission Requirements for California Public Universities

University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements please visit the [General Admissions Information](#) Web page (Outside Source).

California State University

Admission requirements for the California State University (CSU) use three factors to determine eligibility. They are specific high school courses; grades in specified courses and test scores; and graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses utilize local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For general admissions requirements please visit the [Undergraduate Admission & Requirements](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

This table displays the school's one-year dropout and graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison purposes, data are also provided at the district and state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation rates can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08	2005-06	2006-07	2007-08
Dropout Rate (1-year)	1.6	1.9	2.0	7.0	5.1	5.2	3.5	4.4	3.9
Graduation Rate	90.5	88.2	91.0	77.0	76.1	77.6	83.4	80.6	80.2

Completion of High School Graduation Requirements

Students in California public schools must pass both the ELA and mathematics portions of the CAHSEE to receive a high school diploma. For students who began the 2008-09 school year in grade twelve this table displays by student group the percent who met all state and local graduation requirements for grade twelve completion.

Group	Graduating Class of 2009		
	School	District	State
All Students	90	82	N/A
African American	84	80	N/A
American Indian or Alaska Native	75	71	N/A
Asian	93	92	N/A
Filipino	95	89	N/A
Hispanic or Latino	82	71	N/A
Pacific Islander	100	89	N/A
White (not Hispanic)	93	89	N/A
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	86	77	N/A
English Learners	71	48	N/A
Students with Disabilities	67	45	N/A

Career Technical Education Programs (School Year 2008-09)

This section provides information about the degree to which pupils are prepared to enter the workforce, including a list of career technical education (CTE) programs offered at the school.

Our high school offers courses intended to help students prepare for the world of work. These career technical education courses (CTE, formerly known as vocational education) are open to all students.

Accounting
Computer Application
Intro to Computers

Career Technical Education Participation (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays information about participation in the school's CTE programs.

Measure	CTE Program Participation
Number of the school's pupils participating in CTE	325
Percent of the school's pupils completing a CTE program and earning a high school diploma	0
Percent of school's CTE courses sequenced or articulated between the school and institutions of postsecondary education	33%

Courses for University of California and/or California State University Admission (School Year 2007-08)

This table displays, for the most recent year, two measures related to the school's courses that are required for University of California (UC) and/or California State University (CSU) admission. Detailed information about student enrollment in, and completion, of courses required for UC/CSU admission can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page.

UC/CSU Course Measure	Percent
Students Enrolled in Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	81.2
Graduates Who Completed All Courses Required for UC/CSU Admission	60.3

Advanced Placement Courses (School Year 2008-09)

This table displays for the most recent year the number of Advanced Placement (AP) courses that the school offered by subject and the percent of the school's students enrolled in all AP courses. Detailed information about student enrollment in AP courses can be found on the CDE DataQuest Web page.

Subject	Number of AP Courses Offered	Percent of Students In AP Courses
Computer Science	1	N/A
English	1	N/A
Fine and Performing Arts		N/A
Foreign Language	2	N/A
Mathematics	3	N/A
Science	3	N/A
Social Science	3	N/A
All courses	13	9.1

XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

Professional development opportunities for staff members are multifaceted and clearly and consistently linked to the state's standards and the school's core values. Although 2 annual full release days are provided to teachers for professional development, many other opportunities to improve practice occur throughout the school year and summer break. Our school has a coherent, comprehensive plan for professional development that is data driven and directly linked to teaching and learning. Not only do teachers and staff participate in staff development opportunities at the school, but they also take advantage of multiple professional development opportunities at the District. In addition, many teachers take professional growth classes at local colleges and universities and attend workshops offered by the Santa Clara County Office of Education. BTSA and new teacher orientation meetings support new instructors. The school has created and successfully implemented a collaboration model for professional development. School wide and departmental meetings are held regularly so that teachers can continue to work on professional development to support school-wide efforts to align curriculum with rigorous state content standards as well as to provide instructional support for literacy and differentiation to assure the achievement of all students.

To ensure a cycle of continuous improvement, professional development is personalized to address the needs of all subject-area teachers, staff, and administrators. Not only do professional development activities for teachers reflect a best practices approach, but they also align with the California Standards for the Teaching Profession. Teachers and

staff participate in professional development that is aligned with their individual fields and district plans at multiple levels. At the school level, professional development is structured to have a generalized focus (e.g., standards-based instruction), and specific facets of the professional development program (e.g., specific instructional strategies) are personalized to address the specific content area dynamics and needs. For example, in English, teachers are examining student work to ascertain effective standards-based instructional strategies, while in Physical Education, teachers are reviewing the *FitnessGram* data to establish effective instruction strategies for physical fitness instruction. The district also offers a multitude of professional development opportunities to broaden teachers' knowledge, enhance their classroom management skills, and augment their repertoire of best practices instructional strategies.

XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Web page.

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics
Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2007, Grade 4	209	220	30	18	5
Reading 2007, Grade 8	251	261	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2007, Grade 4	74	93	65	80
Reading 2007, Grade 8	78	92	66	77
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	79	96	84	94
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92